

Global Knowledge Support Service for Biodiversity (GKSSB)


Please take 10 minutes to share your view on what will make a Global Knowledge Support Service for Biodiversity (GKSSB) useful and feasible, based on the collaborative working of all involved.

All answers are anonymous.

The results will be shared at the workshop 18 to 21 April.

With thanks for your time.

The first words that come to mind when I think of a Global Knowledge Support Service for Biodiversity (GKSSB) are...





How I currently feel about the initiative that is currently called a Global Knowledge Support Service for Biodiversity (GKSSB) is...









If designed by and for nations, then it has potential to be useful by avoiding the top-down (global) approaches that have not worked. It needs to recognize the capacity/solutions held within nations

See global and National performance towards GBF implementation

Open, free, harmonized information customized to local needs, decision support tool

Targeted, regional mentors, harmonized.

Global and National reference, guidance and comparability

Enable, monitor and report on the GBF progress

Should provide the trusted support required

A main trusted reference place to be first choice to see

Build capacity at national and regional scales to improve the ability for consistent and uniform reporting







By making data accessible and easily interpretable, meaning you don't need to have PhD in data science to get what you need for designing targets, monitoring and reporting

Not sure

It could provide structure and clarity about requirements of regulations on different levels and lead the user directly to the data and metrics these regulations require. One-stop-shop to support Parties


Networked support to develop capacity for the generation and curation of national data and information to support national implementation, monitoring and reporting of progress

Bringing together tools and data to build synergies between them to facilitate better and easier uses to support implementation of KMGBF -through planning, monitoring, reporting and analysis phases, That still needs to be seen once it has been defined as now still not clear

Common platform for all Parties and stakeholders to promote consistency, develop common standards and enable all actors to converge

Advancing the development of tools that are well suited to needs of Parties and other actors across the full range of circumstances that are encountered








Coordinating initiatives globally to gather available knowledge and make it available to support a knowledge-based decision making

capacity building


Global services to local need



data governance

A toolbox

Common approach leveraging the expertise from different groups. Move towards institutionalizing biodiversity building on the scientific knowledge. GKSSB should help in the implementation of standard



Establishing partnerships with different institutions to support a common goal

Ensuring that the different initiatives are coherent and build on each other strengths rather than competing for resources. Common message to support countries Ensuring that stakeholders recognize their value in contributing to the implementation of the package. The risk is that the scope is very broad and difficult to manage.

Ideally, it would be the "one-stop-shop" that I think many are dreaming of, with associated capacity building and support to Parties in collecting, providing and accessing data and knowledge.


The beauty of it & the future it opens lie in providing the reliable, inform.-rich foundation for SEEA-EA biodiv accountinglnclusion & equity with a reality check based on empirical data & knowledge

Genuine collaboration and partnership among all rightsholders and stakeholders, leaving aside individual or organisational narrow agendas.

Linking community-based monitoring and information systems and citizen science to national, regional and global monitoring.

Addressing methodological issues and challenges in supporting implementation and monitoring from local to global levels Connecting with existing tools, tecnologie and network between parties.





Global knowledge support services

Scientific-support

Informed decision making process

Strengthening collaboration while considering the complexity of existing initiatives towards supporting implementation of the knowledge management elements of the GBF is the unique value of the GKSSB.

Single platform to connect biodiversity knowledge materials, tools and institutions from around the world; benefit global stocktaking and monitoring. Avoid duplication of information among tools.


Capacity building and knowledge transfer for developing countries and non-GEF eligible countries. Acquire lessons and best practices in implementation, engagement of non-government actors and IPLCs.

The GKSSB helps all MEAs to respond to the 6 decisions of the K-M GBF, KM, monitoring, planning, reporting, harness updated knowledge and mappings to SDGs from national to global ex through DaRT work.

Supports all MEAs Parties to access knowledge and guidance to implement all 6 decisions of the K-M GBF, KM, reporting, monitoring, planning, cooperation and mapping national targets to SDGs.

Simplify and streamline national reporting for global aggregation







Be a centralized platform, interlinked with other data platform. Easy to use, to navigate, easy to populate, helpful data for decision-making

Empower countries to implement GBF and monitor progress

The value would be to streamline where data comes from and how it can be used across countries

Easier access to information?

We have the opportunity to establish a system for qualifying data that is used for GBF as well as sharing the information

allow better biodiversity decisions based on better knowledge, and ultimately lower biodiversity reduction

some global guidelines to improve the biodiversity management




Thinking of the knowledge, tools and resources you are aware of, what are the top 3 things that you believe should be offered (open or facilitated)?





Please list up to three possible obstacles to the successful working of, and impact from, a GKSSB









Heavily weight representation to nations.	It must be inclusive and supported (in all senses)	Local level must be consulted and represented
Transparency	Communication, too often I have found that we are confuses internally about whet we are offering never mind externally	Ensure user needs are placed at the centre of development
Ensure that data is validated	User focus	developing country buy in







emphasis	on nationa	l support
----------	------------	-----------

Make sure that it builds on existing initiatives and networks, and does not duplicate; and make sure local/regional specificities are considered

Modularity, step by step, adds on

It needs to be clear who is responsible for what. Data needs to be kept up to date. The authority of the GKSSB needs to be supported by all governments.

Mandate

Transparancy

Transparency and inclusiveness. Legitimacy. Orientation towards implementation across full range of targets

legitimacy of Parties and different stakeholders involve in the GKSSB process

Indigenous and local knowledge







in-situ data

An elegant yet powerful rights-based platform that data providers and users will embrace because it is intuitive and saves time for what they really want to do (gather and share data and knowledge)

Transparency

Include a diversity of institutions and existing initiatives; include voices of end users (CBD Parties - esp developing countries) in decision-making

Participation of diverse knowledge systems, including those of indigenous peoples and local communities, as local implementation is critical

Reliability, applicability and simplified communication

As much as possible, build on / link to existing initiatives, respecting their respective own governance mechanisms

Participation of all relevant rights-holders and stakeholders

Since it is a public good, the certainty and transparency of decision-making must be guaranteed and backed by reliable funding for the initiative.







Any decision making body should have equitable representation from regions and technical working groups may be established around, capacity building, reporting, monitoring and data management.

Space for different group to feel represented. Importance of agility to adapt the service through time. Avoid heavy administrative processes

simplicity (where possible!)

To ensure proper decision making, national and regional knowledge institutions and proponents should be represented including IPLCs and MEAs, a proper rotation of nominees, technical capacity of Reps

encouragement and openness

follow up

Simple and with system users included

support to those who should contribute

methods to sustain engagement








adaptability where needed

Funding flows to support national and individual data sets

to be the more representative but also pragmatic and effficient

Open access and interoperability








Federated Biodiversity Support Service	Global Biodiversity Dashboard	Scaling Up Reporting
Biodiversity Global	Global Knowledge Hub for Biodiversity	no idea
National Biodiversity Knowledge Support Service	Capacity Development Initiative for National	Global Biodiversity Data Platform
Tradicional Discinstratory randomodigo calpipor control	Biodiversity Knowledge	





Tools and knowledge facility for implantation of KMGBF

Global Biodiversity Observatory

BioKnow?

Should avoid implying a narrow focus on knowledge about biodiversity. The knowledge needs are far wider than biodiversity itself and involve many other sectors

Good


...there must be a better one!

Global Knowledge Centre for Biodiversity

Global Biodiversity Knowledge Centre

Global Biodiversity Knowledge Service (GloBiKnowS)'Kairos' (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kairos) came to mind.







Biodiversity Knowledge and Data [Service	e]

Biodiversity Global Support (BGS)

no idea yet

Biodiversity Knowledge Hub

Knowledge Partnership for Nature

Don't know until the purpose is clearer

KnowBio, KSS4Biodiv, GKS4Bio, GBKnowS, K-BioSSys

A name to distinguish it from the NBSAP Accelerator and NBSAP Forum which is sometimes confusing countries.

SSBK (somehow much easier to say and remember) - if you do not wish to change it much








BioKnowS	Global Biodiversity Framework knowledge platform	BioServ
BioServ	Planet +	







Hear from national representatives and what they need and how best to deliver.

Governance model discussed/confirmed.

How this initiative will add to, instead of draw from existing initiatives

Clear ideas on implementation

All things must be considered


Clarity on scope, usefulness and legitimacy

Clear boundaries and avoid duplications

Clarity on an objective and way to move forward

Clarity on process for engagement with data managers/ owners





Roadmap for collaboration to implement the Service

I would like to know how I can contribute to the GKSSB. Setting up a timeline. Who does what.

The outcome should be transformative and perpetual - another one-off initiative is not sufficient

A pre-final idea of what the GKSSB should do and how it will come effective.

A proposal emerges that will secure funding and be supported by Parties and relevant institutions globally

Better understand objectives of the centres, actors involved and envisaged governance

Clarity on the scope and next steps for the initiative, which builds on a proposal based on the consultations to date (so as not to repeat them).

The facility needs to offer something to all the institutions that need to be involved otherwise there will be no incentive to participate

A draft proposal with scenarios of implementation is developed







Be specific for each theme with presentations to be shared. The organisers must explain the objectives of this process with a comprehensible roadmap and the methodological approach given the multitude

Provide the basis for a mapping of relevant existing initiatives and institutions

Concrete steps for participating in a process with long-term perspective.

Who is who?Financial resources?Deliverables and responsibilities

Provide basis for clear partnership commitments


Clarity on the strategic intent and data governance

Provide clarity on the exact needs that need to be addressed

Connection between participants

Function of GKSSB





Provide ideas on the means to downscale global data and information to the regional and local level

Generate ideas for communicating scientific information to shape decision-making processes

Strengthen network of knowledge/data managers to share experiences

Reach the goals set in the agenda.

The workshop recordings be made available for further review by countries that have not participated. The landscape slide needs more work to show how tools are linked.

A helpdesk for the various tools that will be accessed, such as the one done by UNEP and UNEP-WCMC to show that tools are collaborating and not working in silos, DaRT, Target Tracker, ORS, Compendia...

ensure that all countries with limited access to digital services have a chance to express their needs on access to the Service. Find a way to unlock the many guidance produced and not used.

If there is an opportunity to present how DaRT national working spaces operate not only for reporting, but KM, mapping, planning NBSAP alignment, monitoring and cooperation among stakeholders.

Clear outcomes and clarity on what will be done, by who and when. What to expect from the service







to see how UNEP-WCMC can contribute (long-term and short-term)

all participants better understand the needs and opportunities

follow up planned

all participants end with much greater awareness - of needs, opportunities and challenges (to try to solve)


we feel inspired that this will make a difference

_

Clear outcome. What do we want to get out of this workshop



Thinking of Global Knowledge Support Service for Biodiversity





Anything else? 13 Answers





Bonne force	I hope be productive and action oriented	No
Thank you.	No	Nothing else
We are already past planetary boundaries and tipping points. If we don't gather the will to get it operational now, then when?	Perhaps the call for participation could have gone out wider. Not sure whether there was a CBD notification (sorry if I missed it) on it?	No



Anything else? 13 Answers






Interested to find out how GKSSB can contribute to action on the ground.

A single platform for knowledge is needed and has been voiced through the decisions of the various MEAs governing bodies. This is a request from countries who negotiated the K-M GBF.

Thank you!



I work in...







Thank you for your time

phil@hadridge.com Workshop facilitator

